

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,619	TSUBAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	

All Participants:

(1) Pamela R. Schwartz.

(2) Mr. Chick.

Status of Application: rejected

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections over Maeda et al.

Claims discussed:

8-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Maeda et al. (6,562,441)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A new rejection is being sent out with a new time period so that rejections over Maeda et al. may be included. This reference was not located until after mailing of the office action of July 29, 2006. See the new office action attached hereto.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)